UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/756,544	01/12/2004	David H. Dicks	nova0104fitmt	3848
	7590 09/30/201 ELEAULT, PLLC	EXAMINER		
41 BROOK ST	REET	LONG, DONNELL ALAN		
MANCHESTE	R, NH 03104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension from my be available under the probable of 50° FH 1-1960, in no event, however, may a may be thinly filled 1 NO period for righty is specified above. The maximum statisticity princed will apply and all expres SIX (8) MONTHS from the marining date of this communication. Falluse for righty will him be set or develored period for exighty will, by stables, cause the sacc details of common ABANDONETI, 516 U. S. C. § 130). An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on the property of the saccine is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, presecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) Claim(s) 1-10 and 22-42 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 34-36 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5b) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Application Papers 10) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filled on set is/are: allowed. Application Papers 10) Claim(s) Some of the saccine is applicated to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of Montant and priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 3. Copies of t			Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
DONNELL LONG 3754 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - If NO cered for endy is appelled above, the maintain address of the coverage of the	Office A stress Occur		10/756,54	4	DICKS ET AL.				
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensive for the regive termises used the processor of 37 CFIT 1-36(i), into event, however, may a very be limely field. 1 NO period for regive is appelled above, the majorinar statutory period will apply and 44 expre \$X_16 MONTHS from the mailing case of this communication. 1 Palles to regive with the set or decreted gened for neight 10, yet states, each the application, owen it through floor, may reduce any control part of the communication, owen it may floor, any state of the communication, owen it may floor, any state of the communication, owen it may floor, any state of the communication, owen it may floor, any state of the communication, owen it may floor, any state of the communication, owen it may floor, any state of the communication, owen it may floor, any state of the communication, owen it may floor, any state of the communication owen it may floor, any state of the communication owen it may floor, any state of the communication owen it may floor, any state of the communication of the communication of the part of the communication of the part of the communication of t	Office Action Summary				Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - In the SEX (5) MCN his face the reality glade of this communication (1) or the second to reply a secondary case one the makina adultative practice willing plant will expire (5) (6) MCN third her reality glade of this communication. - Fabric 3 reply within the secondary period for reply will, by adultative practice willing plant will expire (5) (6) MCN third her reality glade of this communication. - Fabric 3 reply within the secondary period for reply will, by adultative practice upper adult of the practice of the communication. - Fabric 3 reply within the secondary will be mainly did of the communication of the communication of the practice of the communication of the communicati			DONNELL	LONG	3754				
WHICHEVERI IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNATION. Edenticine of their may be available under the provision of 37 EPT 1.136(b), this cevent, movement, may a neight be firely find after 5X (d) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication and provided and maintenance. The state of the provision of the provis	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2011. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 22-42 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 34-36 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12(d). 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some ** c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 22-42 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 34-36 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12(d). 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some ** c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.	lune 2011						
An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) Claim(s) 1-10 and 22-42 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 34-36 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-10.22-33 and 37-42 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) ☑ Claim(s) 1-10 and 22-42 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 34-36 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filled on	′ ==	, —			set forth during the	e interview on			
4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) Claim(s) 1-10 and 22-42 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 34-36 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-10.22-33 and 37-42 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) coepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Hormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO:S8(b)) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	٠,١								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5)	4)□								
Disposition of Claims 5)	• , 🗀	• •	•	·					
Signature Sign		·	•	,					
5a) Of the above claim(s) 34-36 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(c) (PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Dispositi	ion of Claims							
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) 2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	5) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-10 and 22-42 is/are pending in the	application.						
7) Claim(s) 1-10.22-33 and 37-42 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-982) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application		5a) Of the above claim(s) <u>34-36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
S Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers	6)	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application	7) 🔀	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10,22-33 and 37-42</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Beferences Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	8)) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	9)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	equirement.					
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicati	ion Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	_								
-/ 🗖 ················ - ·················	2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/756,544 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment filed June 30, 2011 has been acknowledged. Newly presented claims 39-42 have been entered. Claims 1-10 and 22-42 remain pending in the application.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: There does not appear to be support in the specification for a first and second material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are considered vague and indefinite because it is unclear what is meant by substantially different melting points.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,544

Art Unit: 3754

6. Claims 1-6, 9, 37-39, and 42 (as presently understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Henfrey (GB1104359).

Regarding claim 1, Henfrey discloses a fitment and flexible container comprising:
a lower fitment member (14) including an upper surface and an opening;
a wall of the flexible container on the top surface of the lower fitment member
(Fig. 9); and

Page 3

an upper fitment member (21) including an upper and lower surface and conduit aligned with the opening in the lower fitment.

Regarding claim 2, the upper fitment member includes a septum (29) in axial alignment with the upper fitment conduit.

Regarding claim 3, the septum is made of a material and is resilient at least to a degree.

Regarding claim 4, a layer of resilient material (11) is disposed between the upper and lower fitment.

Regarding claim 5, the fitment includes a gasket (19) between the upper and lower fitment members.

Regarding claim 6, the upper fitment member includes an elongated tube (25).

Regarding claim 9, the upper and lower fitment members inherently have some degree of impermeability or low permeability to certain gases, such as air for example.

Regarding claim 37, Henfrey discloses a fitment and flexible container comprising:

a lower fitment member (14) including an upper surface and an opening;

Art Unit: 3754

a wall of the flexible container on the top surface of the lower fitment member (Fig. 9); and

an upper fitment member including an upper and lower surface and conduit aligned with the opening in the lower fitment.

Regarding claim 38, the upper fitment member includes a septum (29) positioned within the conduit.

Regarding claim 39, the fitment is made of a first convenient material (page 2, lines 69 and 70) and the flexible container is made of a second thermoplastic resinous material (page 2, lines 20 and 21).

Regarding claim 42, the fitment is made of a first convenient material (page 2, lines 69 and 70) and the flexible container is made of a second thermoplastic resinous material (page 2, lines 20 and 21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 7, 8, and 22-27, and 40 (as presently understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henfrey in view of Gross (6439429).

Regarding claim 7, Henfrey DIFFERS in that it does not disclose posts as claimed. Attention, however, is directed to the Gross reference, which discloses another

fitment with posts (134) for securing an upper fitment member to a lower fitment member.

It, therefore, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Henfrey reference in view of the teachings of the Gross reference by employing posts to more effectively secure the upper and lower fitment members together.

The modified Henfrey discloses the claimed invention except for the posts being on the lower fitment as claimed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the posts on the bottom fitment instead of the top fitment, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, *86 USPQ 70*.

Regarding claim 8, the method of forming the device (i.e. by fusing) is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

Claims 22-27 are rejected as an obvious use of Henfrey as modified by Gross.

The method of providing a flexible bag and fitment combination as claimed is considered a natural use of the Henfrey apparatus as modified by Gross, since the modified Henfrey contains all structural limitations as discussed above.

Regarding claim 40, the fitment is made of a first convenient material (page 2, lines 69 and 70) and the flexible container is made of a second thermoplastic resinous material (page 2, lines 20 and 21).

9. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henfrey in view of Sparacio et al. (4775523).

Henfrey DIFFERS in that it does not disclose that the fitment is made of a material as claim. Attention, however, is directed to the Sparacio reference, which discloses a flexible bag made partially of acrylonitrile methyl acrylate copolymer for preserving the contents of the flexible bag.

It, therefore, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Henfrey reference in view of the teachings of the Sparacio reference by employing acrylonitrile methyl acrylate copolymer in order to preserve the contents of the bag. Further, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

10. Claims 28-33 and 41 (as presently understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henfrey (GB1104359) in view of Sparacio et al. (4775523) and Gross (6439429).

Henfrey discloses a fitment and flexible container comprising:

a lower fitment member (14) including an upper surface and an opening;

a wall of the flexible container on the top surface of the lower fitment member (Fig. 9);

an upper fitment member including an upper and lower surface and conduit aligned with the opening in the lower fitment;

a layer of resilient material (11) disposed between the upper and lower fitment;

a gasket (19) between the upper and lower fitment members; and a septum (29) in axial alignment with the upper fitment conduit.

Henfrey DIFFERS in that it does not disclose that the flexible bag is multi-layered as claim. Attention, however, is directed to the Sparacio reference, which discloses a flexible bag made of multiple layers for purposes of preserving the contents of the bag.

It, therefore, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Henfrey reference in view of the teachings of the Sparacio reference by employing multiple layers in order to preserve the contents of the package.

The modified Henfrey DIFFERS in that it does not disclose posts as claimed.

Attention, however, is directed to the Gross reference, which discloses another fitment with posts (134) for securing an upper fitment member to a lower fitment member.

It, therefore, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the modified Henfrey reference in view of the teachings of the Gross reference by employing posts to more effectively secure the upper and lower fitment members together.

The modified Henfrey discloses the claimed invention except for the posts being on the lower fitment as claimed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the posts on the bottom fitment instead of the top fitment, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, *86 USPQ 70*.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,544 Page 8

Art Unit: 3754

Claims 28-33 are rejected as an obvious use of Henfrey as modified by Sparacio and Gross. The method of increasing the shelf-life of a package as claimed is considered a natural use of the Henfrey apparatus as modified by Sparacio and Gross, since the modified Henfrey contains all structural limitations as discussed above.

Regarding claim 41, the fitment is made of a first convenient material (page 2, lines 69 and 70) and the flexible container is made of a second thermoplastic resinous material (page 2, lines 20 and 21).

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed June 30. 2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that Henfrey does not teach mechanical compression of the fitment, see page 1, lines 81-84 and page 2, lines 45-50 and lines 64-68.

Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/756,544 Page 9

Art Unit: 3754

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DONNELL LONG whose telephone number is (571)270-5610. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KEVIN SHAVER can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 3754

/KEVIN P. SHAVER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3754